



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,891	06/21/2001	Kazuya Suzuki	33718	6118
116	7590 04/19/		EXAMINER	
	t GORDON LLP 9TH STREET	HANEY, MATTHEW J		
SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAN	CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/886,891	SUZUKI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew Haney	2613				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replevent of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 December 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn fr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the amendment filed by the applicant.

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments filed December 6, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner notes that Applicant simply placed claim 3 within claim 1, both of which were already addressed in the previous office action. Therefore no new rejections were created. The applicant's argument that two controlling states are not present within Ratz (US 5,982,420) is rejected and the Examiner would like to point out Column 12, Lines 32-67 specifically. Ratz teaches of a manual override and an automatic control (where the crosshairs of the rectangular box can be locked onto a target within the display window).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ratz (US 5,982,420). Ratz teaches of a surveillance system comprising a camera unit for transforming an image into an image signal and outputting said image signal and a display unit having a screen and operative to transform into an image said image signal

outputted by said camera unit to display said image on said screen (Note: camera system generates a composite video signal containing image signals and has a display device, Column 1, Lines 66-67, Column 2, Lines 1-3); camera unit being operative to automatically chase an object as a chasing target to have said display unit display said object as said chasing target and a display unit including marker displaying means for displaying a marker on said screen and chasing target determining means for determining said object spaced apart from said marker at a predetermined distance as said chasing target among said images displayed on said screen (Note: camera system including an automatic tracking device; a reference box for confining and locating the information defining the preselected portion as it is being viewed on the display. Column 2, Lines 4-14); marker is constituted by a pointer and said chasing object target determining means is operative to determine said object superimposed by pointer (Column 2, Lines 15-18), a joystick operative to output position signals and signal controlling means for receiving signals outputted by joystick to control camera and joystick having ability to control two states and setting means for setting states (Column 12, Lines 32-43); marker forms a plurality of screen areas on said screen and said chasing target determining means is operative to determine said object positioned within one predetermined screen area on said screen (Column 13, Lines 28-35); marker displaying means is superimposed with object (Note: white lines on display screen along with rectangular box (i.e. marker), Column 12, Lines 4-17); chasing target determining means is operative to determine only one object as said chasing target to automatically be chased when said object is displayed on said screen (Column 12.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

Lines 15-18), marker is made up of vertical and horizontal lines to form a plurality of areas (Note: crosshair defined by vertical and horizontal lines, running the length of the screen, Column 11, Lines 58-63, Column 12, Lines 4-14).

Conclusion

2. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Loveland (US 6,437,819 B1) is seen to be a relevant reference and reads on most of the claims contained within the application. Kageyama (US 5,552,823) teaches of an ability to track an object and display with mention of a joystick for control purposes. Monroe (US 6,392,692 B1) teaches of a tracking and surveillance system.

Application/Control Number: 09/886,891

Art Unit: 2613

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew Haney whose telephone number is (571) 272-7330. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (5:30-3:00), Every Other Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew Haney Examiner Art Unit 2613

mjh